

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,002	08/10/2006	Nathalie Vast	062836	4620	
38834 7590 02922010 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HAUPT, KRISTY A		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/22/2010	EI ECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/589.002 VAST ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KRISTY A. HAUPT 2876 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/06.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2876

#### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to Application 10/589,002 filed 8/10/06. Claims 1-24 are pending with claim 1 in independent form.

## Priority

 This Application is a National Stage entry of PCT/FR05/00337 filed 2/11/05, which claims priority to foreign application FR 0401330 filed 2/11/04.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-12, 14, 16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dames et al. US 5,697,649.

Dames teaches:

With respect to claim 1:

A flat security element, having a front side and a reverse side, and being
relatively small in size, such as planchettes (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 5859) wherein it includes, at least on one of its sides, at least one in-register
authentication pattern (Column 4, Line 56 - Column 5, Line 19; Figures 13) and/or an authentication pattern resulting from the combination and/or

superposition of a pattern on its front side and of a pattern on its reverse side, at least one of said authentication patterns being at least partly observable in transmitted light (Column 6, Lines 5-10)

With respect to claim 2 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein said in-register authentication pattern is in register either with respect to at least one portion of the shape of said security element or with respect to at least one portion of said patterns (Figures 1-3; Column 4, Line 56 – Column 5, Line 19)

With respect to claim 3 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein one of the patterns on the front side and/or on the reverse side is an in-register pattern (Figures 1-3; Column 4, Line 56 – Column 5, Line 19)

With respect to claim 6 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein one of said patterns is a pattern in the form of a geometric pattern, in particular in alphanumeric form, and/or in the form of a grid and/or lines and/or dots (Column 5, Lines 41-43; Column 5, Line 60 -Column 6, Line 10; Figures 1-4) Application/Control Number: 10/589,002 Page 4
Art Unit: 2876

With respect to claim 7 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein the dimensions of said element are between 0.5 and 6mm, preferably between 1 and 4mm (Column 5, Lines 24-27 and 49-52)

With respect to claim 8 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein it has a geometric shape, especially a circular, triangular, oval, square or rectangular shape, or a star shape, moon shape or a shape with curved edges (Figures 1-4)

With respect to claim 10 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

Wherein said element includes patterns chosen from those that are visible
in natural light or visible in UV light (Column 6, Lines 5-10), that are
luminescent, particularly fluorescent or phosphorescent, that are
detectable by near or medium infrared radiation, that are thermochromic
or piezochromic, that are based on DNA traces, that are optically variable,
especially iridescent, or based on liquid crystals or on diffraction gratings
or on moiré patterns or holograms, or that are electromagnetic or
combinations thereof

With respect to claim 11 and incorporating all limitations of claim 10:

 Wherein said element includes, beneath or alongside said patterns, printing of electromagnetic, especially magnetic, character and, in particular, continuous tracks or codes in the form of magnetic bits (Figures 1-3; Column 5, Line 1-5)

With respect to claim 12 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein at least one of the patterns is visible to the naked eye (Column 6, Lines 5-10)

With respect to claim 14 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

Wherein said security element has a medium chosen from a fibrous sheet,
 a plastic film and a complex of these materials (Column 5, Lines 19-25)

With respect to claim 16 and incorporating all limitations of claim 14:

 Wherein said fibrous sheet of said medium is based on natural and/or synthetic fibers (Column 5, Lines 19-25)

With respect to claim 18 and incorporating all limitations of claim 14:

 Wherein the plastic film of said element is a polyester film (Column 5, Lines 19-25)

With respect to claim 19 and incorporating all limitations of claim 14:

 Wherein said element is based on a bulk-opacified medium or on a medium having, on at least one of its sides, at least partly, a full or partial

Art Unit: 2876

color, opacifying or barrier layer or printing (Column 8, Lines 12-19 teaches printing)

With respect to claim 20 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

A security sheet comprising a fibrous substrate (Column 7, Lines 14-22)
 that includes several flat security elements of relatively small size (Column 1, Lines 9-13; Column 3, Lines 23-26; Column 7, Lines 14-26)

With respect to claim 21 and incorporating all limitations of claim 20:

 Wherein said security elements are arranged in the form of a band and/or randomly distributed within said substrate (Column 7, Lines 14-26; Figure 3)

With respect to claim 22 and incorporating all limitations of claim 20:

• A security document obtained from a sheet (Column 7, Lines 14-22)

 Claims 1, 4 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Melling et al. US 4,943,093.

Melling teaches:

With respect to claim 1:

 A flat security element, having a front side and a reverse side, and being relatively small in size (Abstract), such as planchettes, wherein it includes,

Page 7

at least on one of its sides, at least one in-register authentication pattern and/or an authentication pattern resulting from the combination and/or superposition of a pattern on its front side and of a pattern on its reverse side (Column 7, Lines 45-58), at least one of said authentication patterns being at least partly observable in transmitted light (Column 7, Lines 45-58)

With respect to claim 4 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

Wherein said element includes, as pattern on the front side, at least one
given color and as pattern on the reverse side at least one other given
color, said authentication pattern observed in transmitted light being the
color resulting from the color on the reverse side and the color on the front
side (Column 7. Lines 45-58)

With respect to claim 13 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein said element includes chemical authentication reactants, or reactants that reveal a specific event (Column 2, Lines 48-53 teaches using fluorescent or phosphorescent materials)

With respect to claim 14 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

Wherein said security element has a medium chosen from a fibrous sheet,
 a plastic film and a complex of these materials (Column 4, Lines 64-65)

Art Unit: 2876

 Claims 1, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaule et al. US 4.892.336.

Kaule teaches:

With respect to claim 1:

A flat security element, having a front side and a reverse side, and being
relatively small in size (Abstract teaches security threads), such as
planchettes, wherein it includes, at least on one of its sides, at least one
in-register authentication pattern (Abstract) and/or an authentication
pattern resulting from the combination and/or superposition of a pattern on
its front side and of a pattern on its reverse side (Abstract), at least one of
said authentication patterns being at least partly observable in transmitted
light (Column 5. Lines 2-14)

With respect to claim 23 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- At least one portion of said authentication patterns is printed in one or more steps on one of the sides of its medium (Abstract; Column 6, Lines 22-43)
- At least one portion of said authentication patterns is printed, where
  appropriate on the other side, in one or more steps, either by being in
  register with respect to at least one portion of the shape of said element or
  by being in registration with respect to the previously printed portion
  (Abstract: Column 6, Lines 22-43; Column 6, Line 65 Column 7, Line 2)

Art Unit: 2876

With respect to claim 24 and incorporating all limitations of claim 23:

 Wherein the printed medium is cut in registration into security elements of the desired shape and such that at least the in-register pattern is wholly present on said element (Column 7, Lines 5-31)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2876

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Melling et al. US 4.943.093 in view of Boehm US 4.897.300.

The teachings of Melling have been discussed above.

Melling fails to explicitly teach:

With respect to claim 5:

 Wherein the colors on the front and reverse sides are chosen from primary colors

With respect to claim 9:

 Wherein it includes printing in an amount of 1 to 10 g/m2 per side, preferably between about 2 and 5 g/m2 per side, by dry weight

However, Boehm teaches:

With respect to claim 5 and incorporating all limitations of claim 4:

 Wherein the colors on the front and reverse sides are chosen from primary colors (Column 2, Lines 1-3)

With respect to claim 9 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

 Wherein it includes printing in an amount of 1 to 10 g/m2 per side, preferably between about 2 and 5 g/m2 per side, by dry weight (Column 4,

Art Unit: 2876

Lines 6-11 teaches choosing quantity of color based on the luminescence of the color used but does not specifically teach the above ranges)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Melling to use primary colors for the printing, as taught by Boehm, as it would be an obvious matter of design choice within the skill of the art, where primary ink colors are well-known and commercially available ink colors that when printed on both sides, as was taught in the invention of Melling, will produce a known secondary color mix in transmitted light that is easily identifiable.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Melling to print within the ranges shown above since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233)

 Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melling et al. US 4,943,093 in view of Kaule et al. US 4,892,336.

The teachings of Melling have been discussed above.

Melling fails to explicitly teach:

With respect to claim 15:

Art Unit: 2876

Wherein said medium has a low basis weight, in particular between 25

and 40 g/m<sup>2</sup> and/or a thickness between about 50 and 100 µm

However, Kaule teaches:

With respect to claim 15 and incorporating all limitations of claim 14:

• Wherein said medium has a low basis weight, in particular between 25

and 40 g/m<sup>2</sup> and/or a thickness between about 50 and 100 µm (Column 5,

Lines 51-54)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

modify the invention of Melling to use a thickness of approximately 70 µm for the

medium, as taught by Kaule, to allow them to be used in antifalsification

documents, such as bank notes which generally have a thickness of 100 µm

(Column 5, Lines 51-54) where the thickness is usually selected in accordance

with the refractive index of the material (Column 5, Lines 45-47 and 50-57).

11. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dames

et al. US 5,697,649 in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

The teachings of Dames have been discussed above.

Dames fails to teach:

With respect to claim 17:

Art Unit: 2876

 Wherein said fibrous sheet is a paper based on cellulose fibers refined to a low degree, of the overlay type

However, Applicant's admitted prior art Page 7, Lines 15-17 of the Specification filed 8/10/06 states that it is a conventional technique to refine cellulose fibers to a low degree for a sheet of paper of the overlay type.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Dames to use paper made of cellulose fibers refined to a low degree, as taught by Applicant's admitted prior art, to increase the tensile strength as well as the porosity and impregnatability of the paper.

#### Examiner's Note

The Examiner has cited particular column and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested form the Applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the Prior Art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 2876

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to KRISTY A. HAUPT whose telephone number is

(571)272-8545 and email address is kristy.haupt@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kristy A Haupt/

Examiner, Art Unit 2876

/Michael G Lee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2876

Application/Control Number: 10/589,002

Page 15

Art Unit: 2876